

Jon Biggs

→ **From:** Gary Sprader [gary@backporchfabrics.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 11:43 AM

Subject: Agenda Item for Next Planning Commission Meeting

Hi Jon,

Regarding the agenda item on call-up for our next meeting I think it is good to sometimes discuss these issues without a project before us. Based on what happened at a previous meeting with the call-up of the project on Shell I would like to propose these items for discussion:

1. I did not feel we followed the municipal code in making our decision. Specifically insuring neighborhood compatibility and using the Architectural Guidelines in making our decision. Do other commissioners agree or do they look at it differently?
2. What are we going to tell the ARB at our joint meeting in July. I was going to tell them to follow the municipal code and use the guidelines, but after the last decision, I don't think the pc did that. So I don't know what to tell them. What are other commissioners thinking?
3. Sometimes I get the impression during deliberations that commissioners think the only people involved are themselves and the applicant. I think we represent all the people of Pacific Grove and should do what is in the public interest. Do commissioners agree and how do they determine what is in the public interest?

Since there are so many new members on the pc, I think discussions like this will help us understand each other better and overall lead to better and more consistent decisions.

Thanks,
Gary Sprader