



CITY OF PACIFIC GROVE

300 FOREST AVENUE
PACIFIC GROVE, CALIFORNIA 93950
TELEPHONE (831) 648-3190 • FAX (831) 648-3184

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING

ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

DATE & TIME: TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2009 – 6:00 P.M.

LOCATION: CITY HALL, COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 300 FOREST AVENUE

NOTE: The Architectural Review Board (ARB) may move and reorder the items on the consent and regular agendas. The public is encouraged to be present at the start of the meeting to hear and comment on items of interest.

The Architectural Review Board will not begin consideration of any item on the agenda later than 9 p.m. unless, by majority vote, the ARB decides to consider an item or items after 9 p.m. Any items on this agenda not considered this evening will be continued to a future meeting.

The general findings and conditions of approval for architectural approval applications are noted on the last page of this agenda. These apply to all final approvals of architectural applications. Special and additional findings and conditions may be added to an architectural approval as deemed necessary by the ARB.

All projects are subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and disclosure. CEQA status is noted on the agenda for each project.

Structures listed on the City's Historic Resources Inventory (HRI) are denoted on the agenda with an "(HRI)" next to their project address.

Architectural Review Board (ARB) Members:

Robin Aeschliman
Jeff Becom
Jeff Edmonds, Vice-Chair
Ken Hinshaw
Doug Howe, Chair
Wilda Northrop

Members of the audience may come forward to review and observe exhibits being presented for any agenda item. Public comment on a specific agenda item may be made after the applicant has presented his/her project to the Board.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Review and take action on the minutes from the September 22, 2009, Regular ARB Site Review and Regular Meeting.

4. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

(Comments from the audience may concern matters not on the agenda, but must deal with matters subject to the jurisdiction of the Board. Comments will not receive Board action.)

5. COMMUNICATIONS

6. WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE

7. CITY COUNCIL ACTIONS

8. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTIONS

9. SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBER REPORTS

10. ADMINISTRATIVE ARCHITECTURAL APPROVALS

11. ITEMS WITHDRAWN OR TABLED

12. REQUESTS FOR CONTINUANCE

13. CONSENT AGENDA

14. REGULAR AGENDA

a. Project Address: 173 Lighthouse Avenue (HRI)

Historic Preservation Permit Application No. 09-0246 and Architectural Approval Application No. 3881-09 for a 315 square foot second floor addition to an existing two-story single family residence. The existing structure is listed on the City's Historic Resources Inventory and has non-conforming setbacks and parking. The Historic Preservation Permit is required in order to allow for an addition to a residence with non-conforming parking.

Applicant: Stocker and Allaire, Inc., on behalf of Michael Clark

CEQA status: Class 31 Categorical Exemption

Recommended Architectural Review Guidelines: Architectural Review Guidelines for Historic Buildings No's. 1, 2, 3, and 4, Appendix I.
Staff reference: Associate Planner, Valerie Tallerico
Recommended action: Discuss and take appropriate action

b. Project Address: 206 14th Street (HRI)

Historic Preservation Permit Application No. 09-0248 and Architectural Approval Application No. 3890-09 for a 225 square foot addition to an existing single family residence. The existing structure is listed on the City's Historic Resources Inventory and has non-conforming setbacks. The Historic Preservation Permit is required in order to allow for a first floor addition to a residence with non-conforming setbacks and to allow for the addition to follow the setback of the existing historic residence.

Applicant: Charles Huff, Architect, on behalf of Cyril and Diana Bonanno

CEQA status: Class 31 Categorical Exemption

Recommended Architectural Review Guidelines: Architectural Review Guidelines for Historic Buildings No's. 1, 2, 3, and 4, Appendix I.

Staff reference: Associate Planner, Valerie Tallerico

Recommended action: Discuss and take appropriate action

c. Project Address: 157 Pacific Avenue (HRI)

Architectural Approval Application No. 3857-09 for final approval of materials for renovations to a single family residence listed on the Historic Resources Inventory.

Applicant: Ted Larson, on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Peter Ferbrache

CEQA status: Class 31 Categorical Exemption

Recommended Architectural Review Guidelines: 33, 35, 36, and 37.

Staff reference: Associate Planner, Valerie Tallerico

Recommended action: Discuss and take appropriate action.

d. Project Address: 165 Acacia Avenue

Architectural Approval Application No. 3908-09 for a proposed design change to a previously approved single family dwelling for window changes.

Applicant: David Prew, on behalf of Paul Fortier

CEQA status: Class 1 Categorical Exemption

Recommended Architectural Review Guidelines: 33, 36, and 37.

Staff reference: Associate Planner, Valerie Tallerico

Recommended action: Discuss and take appropriate action.

e. Project Address: 1349 Pico Avenue

Architectural Approval Application No. 3900-09 for a proposed design change to a previously approved single family dwelling to raise the structure 18", add 1' 6" to the front of the garage and master bedroom above garage and door, and window and skylight changes.

Applicant: Terry Latasa, on behalf of Ehab Youssef

CEQA status: Mitigated Negative Declaration (Adopted 07/13/04)

Recommended Architectural Review Guidelines: 1, 24, 32, 33, 35, 36 and 38.

Staff reference: Associate Planner, Valerie Tallerico
 Recommended action: Discuss and take appropriate action.

f. Discuss ways to reduce costs of the architectural review process as part of the City's budget cutting strategies

CEQA status: Not a project under CEQA (CEQA Guideline Section 15378(b)(5))

Staff reference: Chief Planner, Lynn Burgess

Recommended action: Discuss and provide feedback for staff to forward to City Council.

g. Review and comment on the clarity and completeness of the Submittal Requirements Checklist for Architectural Approval applications

CEQA status: Not a project under CEQA (CEQA Guideline Section 15378(b)(5))

Staff reference: Chief Planner, Lynn Burgess

Recommended action: Discuss and provide feedback to staff.

15. REPORTS OF BOARDMEMBERS

Boardmembers may ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement or make a brief report on his or her activities. In addition, the Board members may provide a referral to staff or other resources for factual information, request staff to report back to the body at a subsequent meeting concerning a City matter or direct staff to place a request to agendize a matter of business on a future agenda (G.C. 54954.2).

16. REPORTS OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Reference: Chief Planner, Lynn Burgess

17. ADJOURNMENT

Note: Materials for this agenda, and copies of previous meeting agendas and minutes for the Architectural Review Board, are available for review at the Community Development Department, 300 Forest Avenue, Pacific Grove. Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the Architectural Review Board after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection at the Community Development Department, 2nd Floor of City Hall, during normal business hours (8 a.m. – 2 p.m.) and can be requested for review at the Business Desk, 1st Floor of City Hall, from 2 p.m. – 5 p.m. Materials can also be requested of staff during the Architectural Review Board hearing.

THE CITY OF PACIFIC GROVE DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. **THE CITY MANAGER'S CONFERENCE ROOM AND CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS IN PACIFIC GROVE CITY HALL ARE ACCESSIBLE MEETING PLACES.** A LIMITED NUMBER OF DEVICES ARE AVAILABLE TO ASSIST THOSE WHO ARE HEARING IMPAIRED. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO USE ONE OF THESE DEVICES, PLEASE CONTACT THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SECRETARY AT (831) 648-3190.

NOTICE TO APPLICANTS

Applicants or their representatives must be present at the meeting for which their item, **including those items on the Consent Agenda**, is scheduled. **If unable to attend, the applicant must submit a written request for continuance prior to the meeting. The item may be denied if continuance is not requested.**

Decisions rendered by the Architectural Review Board may be appealed to the Planning Commission using a form available at the Community Development Department. The appeal form, plus an appeal fee, must be filed with the Community Development Department within 10 days (5 days in the case of a single-family dwelling not listed on the historic resources inventory as considered under the terms of subsection A. of Section 23.73.080 of the Municipal Code) of the action or decision being appealed. Notwithstanding the time limit for appeal set out in the above section, the Planning Commission and the City Council shall always have until their next regularly scheduled meeting following Architectural Review Board action to decide to review such action. No building permit pertaining to an Architectural Review Board action may be issued until the appeal period has passed.

Applicants are requested to contact the Building Department for building permit requirements. No sign approved by the Architectural Review Board shall be painted, erected, or otherwise installed until the appeal period has passed. **Any proposed exterior changes from approved plans require Architectural Review Board review and approval.** There is a fee for design changes submitted after the architectural approval application has been granted final approval.

Applicants with approved projects that have been deemed statutorily or categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) may file a Notice of Exemption (NOE) directly with the Monterey County Clerk in order to reduce the CEQA challenge period from 180 days to 35 days (CEQA Guidelines Section 15062). Applicants wishing to file the NOE should contact their planner for instructions on how to file the notice with Monterey County. Please note the Monterey County Clerk has a \$50 filing fee for a NOE.

Filing of a NOE by the City of Pacific Grove is not required. CEQA determinations are included in the public hearing notices for all projects.

STANDARD FINDING FOR APPROVAL OF AN ARCHITECTURAL APPROVAL APPLICATION

The architecture and general appearance of the building(s) will be in keeping with the neighborhood and the completed project will not be detrimental to the orderly and harmonious development of the City nor impair the desirability of investment or occupation in the neighborhood.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF ARCHITECTURAL APPLICATION APPROVALS

1. This approval shall be null and void if a building permit has not been applied for within one year from the date of approval. Application for extension of this approval must be made prior to the expiration date.
2. All construction must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth in the application(s) for permits, subject to any special conditions. Any deviation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the staff and may require Architectural Review Board approval.

3. The terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Architectural Review Board and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.
4. Review by the Public Works Department is required prior to issuance of the building permit. The applicant shall obtain an encroachment permit from the Public Works Department before undertaking any work in the Public way and prior to issuance of the building permit.

STANDARD FINDING FOR APPROVAL OF A SIGN PERMIT APPLICATION

The proposed sign effectively conveys the business type and identity to the public and possesses pleasing elements of design that protects and enhances the architectural character and harmony of the community, buildings, and neighborhood in which it is located.

JUDICIAL TIME LIMITS

This serves as written notice that Pacific Grove Municipal Code Section 1.20.010 incorporates Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California and provides a ninety-day limitation for judicial review of any final administrative decision by the council, or any board, commissioner, or officer of the city.